

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji –Goa

Tel No. 0832-2437908/2437208 email: spio-gsic.goa@nic.in website:www.gsic.goa.gov.in

Appeal No. 57/2021/SCIC

Shri. Jawaharlal T. Shetye,
H.No. 35/A, Ward No. 11,
Khorlim-Mapusa, Goa 403507.

.....Appellant

V/S

1. The Public Information Officer,
Me.E-II, Mr. Vyankatesh Sawant,
Mapusa Municipal Council,
Mapusa-Goa 403507.

2. The First Appellate Authority,
The Chief Officer,
Mapusa Municipal Council,
Mapusa-Goa 403507.

.....Respondents

Shri. Vishwas R. Satarkar

State Chief Information Commissioner

Filed on: 12/03/2021

Decided on: 02/11/2023

ORDER

1. The Appellant, Shri. Jawaharlal T. Shetye r/o. H.No. 35/A, Ward No. 11, Khorlim-Mapusa, Goa vide his application dated 16/11/2020 filed under Section 6(1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter to be referred as 'Act') sought certain information from the Public Information Officer (PIO), Mapusa Municipal Council, Mapusa-Goa.
2. Since the said application was not responded by the PIO within the stipulated time, deeming the same as refusal, the Appellant filed first appeal before the Chief Officer, Mapusa Municipal Council on 17/12/2020, being the First Appellate Authority (FAA).
3. Since the FAA failed and neglected to hear and dispose the first appeal within the stipulated time, the Appellant preferred this Second appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the Act.

4. Notices were issued to the parties, pursuant to which, the Appellant appeared in person on 07/12/2021, representative of the FAA, Adv. Pallavi Dicholkar appeared on 14/06/2022, the PIO, Shri. Prashant Narvekar appeared on 19/07/2022 and sought time to file reply and matter was fixed for compliance.
5. In the course of hearing today i.e. on 02/11/2023, the other PIO, Shri. Nilesh Lingudkar appeared and shown his willingness to provide the inspection of records. The Appellant J.T. Shetye appeared and submitted that he is now not interested for inspection of records as the said information become infructuous due to long lapse of time. He also submitted that he does not wish to proceed with the matter further.
6. On the basis of submission made by the Appellant, the matter is disposed off.
 - Proceeding closed.
 - Pronounced in the open court.
 - Notify the parties.

Sd/-

(Vishwas R. Satarkar)

State Chief Information Commissioner